

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.ospto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/944,409	944,409 09/04/2001		Takehiro Shimizu	NITT.0039	1210
38327	7590	12/14/2004		EXAMINER	
REED SM		V DDIVE CHITE I	MEONSKE. TONIA L		
3110 FAIRVIEW PARK DRIVE, SUITE 1400 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22042			400	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2183	

DATE MAILED: 12/14/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_		Application No.	Applicant(s)	<b>N</b> /				
Office Action Summary		09/944,409	SHIMIZU ET AL.	4				
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Tonia L Meonske	2183					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	iress				
A SH THE - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or the to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timy within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	mmunication.				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>16.S</u>	eptember 2004.						
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 5</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) <u>4 and 6-8</u> is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or							
Applicati	ion Papers							
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10)	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.				
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document  2. Certified copies of the priority document  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document  application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been received in PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National S	Stage				
Attachment								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
3) 🔲 Inforr	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/944,409 Page 2

Art Unit: 2183

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Intel Corporation, <u>IA-64 Application Developer's Architecture Guide</u>, May 1999 (hereinafter referred to as Intel).
- 3. Referring to claim 1, Intel has taught a processor comprising:
  - a. a register file including a plurality of registers assigned with register numbers, each of the registers storing operand data (Page 7-1);
  - a plurality of operation pipes, each operation pipe for executing in parallel one
     kind of operation on a plurality of the operand data (Page 7-137, padd);
  - a decoder for decoding a register designating field of an instruction code (Page 6-2, section 6.1.1, first bullet point, page C-17, Bits 13-26 comprise the register designating field.), said register designating field having a register number stored therewith (page C-17, Bits 13-26 have register number r2 and register number r3 stored therewith.), said decoder further for generating signals designating register numbers based on the register number of the register designating field (page 7-137, padd, page C-17, Signals designating register numbers r2 and r3 are generated.), said designated register numbers

Art Unit: 2183

being consecutive with the register number of the register designating field (r2 is consecutive with r3.); and

- d. a control circuit for sending operand data stored in the registers corresponding to the designated register numbers to at least one of the operation pipes such that said at least one of the operation pipes executes in parallel the one kind of operation associated therewith on the operand data sent from the corresponding designated registers (page 7-137, padd).
- 4. Referring to claim 2, Intel has taught a processor comprising:
  - a. a register file including a plurality of registers assigned with register numbers (Page 7-1);
  - b. a plurality of operation pipes, each operation pipe for executing in parallel one kind of operation on a plurality of the operand data so as to generate operation result data (Page 7-137, padd);
  - c. a decoder for decoding a register designating field of an instruction code (Page 6-2, section 6.1.1, first bullet point, page C-17, Bits 13-26 comprise the register designating field.), said register designating field having a register number stored therewith (page C-17, Bits 13-26 have register number r2 and register number r3 stored therewith.), said decoder further for generating signals designating register numbers based on and consecutive with the register number of the register designating field, and the registers corresponding to the designated register numbers being designated for storing the operation result data (page 7-137, padd, page C-17, Signals designating register numbers r2 and r3 are generated.); and

Art Unit: 2183

d. a control circuit for sending the operation result data from at least one of the operation pipes to the corresponding designated registers (page 7-137, padd).

Page 4

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 6. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Prabhu, US Patent 6,463,525.
- 7. Referring to claim 3, Prabhu has taught a processor comprising:
  - a. a register file including a plurality of registers assigned with register numbers, each of the registers for storing at least one of operand data and operation result data (Abstract, Figures 1 and 2, element 40);
  - b. a plurality of operation pipes, each operation pipe for executing in parallel one kind of operation on a plurality of the operand data so as to generate the operation result data (abstract, Figure 2, column 3, line 14-43, column 5, lines 45-56);
  - c. a first decoder for decoding a first register designating field of an instruction code (Figure 2, column 5, TABLE 2, fadd, d0 is inherently decoded.), said first register designating field having a first register number stored therewith (Figure 2, column 5, TABLE 2, d0), said first decoder further for generating signals designating source register numbers based on and consecutive with the first register number (Figure 2, column 5, TABLE 2, When d0 is decoded, signals for f0 and f1 are generated.);

Art Unit: 2183

- d. a second decoder for decoding a second register designating field of the instruction code (Figure 2, column 5, TABLE 2, fadd, d2 is inherently decoded.), said second register designating field having a second register number stored therewith (Figure 2, column 5, TABLE 2, d2), said second decoder further for generating signals designating result register numbers based on and consecutive with the second register number (Figure 2, column 5, TABLE 2, When d2 is decoded, signals for f2 and f3 are generated.); and
- e. a control circuit for sending the operand data stored in source registers corresponding to the designated source register numbers to at least one of the operation pipes such that said at least one of the operation pipes executes in parallel the one kind of operation associated therewith on the operand data and for sending the operation result data obtained from the at least on operation pipe to result registers corresponding to the designated result register numbers (abstract, column 5, lines 45-56).

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 9. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Intel Corporation, IA-64 Application Developer's Architecture Guide, May 1999 (hereinafter referred to as Intel).
- 10. Referring to claim 5, Intel has taught the processor according to claim 1, as described above. Intel has not specifically taught wherein the number of the plurality of registers is limited

Art Unit: 2183

to the n-th power of 2n is a natural number, so as to reduce register selecting circuits. However, having the number of registers limited to the n-th power of 2 would maximize the efficiency of the wiring as none of the bit combinations would be wasted. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the number of the plurality of registers, as taught by Intel, be limited to the n-th power of 2 where n is a natural number, to thereby enable to reduce register selecting circuits, for the desirable purpose of maximizing the efficiency of the wiring as none of the bit combinations would be wasted.

### Response to Arguments

11. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3 and 5 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Allowable Subject Matter

12. Claims 4 and 6-8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

- Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).
- 14. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

Application/Control Number: 09/944,409 Page 7

Art Unit: 2183

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

- 15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tonia L Meonske whose telephone number is (571) 272-4170. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8-4:30.
- 16. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eddie P Chan can be reached on (571) 272-4162. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.
- 17. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

tlm

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100